



Docket No. FLM 5712/1417Y P701

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re U.S. Patent Application of	)	
Becker, et al.	)	
	)	Art Unit: 2876
Application No. 10/075,153	)	
	)	Examiner: K. Koyama
Filed: February 14, 2002	)	
	)	
For: Coding Symbology and a Method	)	
for Printing Same	)	

**APPLICANTS' INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

**Via Fax to (703) 872-9306**

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

On July 6, 2004, the undersigned conducted an in-person interview with Examiner Kumiko Koyama, Primary Examiner Karl D. Frech, and one of the named-inventors, Joseph C. Mase. Applicants and their counsel thank the Examiners for their time. This summary constitutes a recordation contemplated by 37 C.F.R. § 1.133 and MPEP § 713.04.

- A. Brief Description of Nature of any Exhibit or Demonstration  
The Examiners were shown an embodiment of the claimed invention, as well as one type of a medical container having a two-color, positive image bar code and a similar medical container having a single-color, positive image bar code.
- B. Identification of Claim(s) Discussed  
The Examiners were presented with a copy of the pending claims, as set forth in Applicants' Reply to the Office Action of December 9, 2003.
- C. Identification of Specific Prior Art Discussed  
The primary combination of United States Patents Nos. 5,237,164 (Takada) and 6,355,024 (Small).
- D. Identification of Principal Proposed Amendments Discussed  
None.

- E. General Identification of Principal Argument Discussed  
Applicants submit that their proposed claims are patentable over the prior art of record because such art fails to disclose, teach or otherwise suggest an article of manufacture having a bar code where the article itself comprises at least part of the bar code, a bar code comprising variable information, or a flexible article having a bar code, as claimed and described in Applicants' specification.
- F. Other Pertinent Matters Discussed  
None.
- G. Results of Interview  
Although the Examiners agreed in principle with the above argument, no agreement was reached at the time of interview, as the Examiners requested time to review Applicants' arguments in view of the cited art.
- H. Copies of Internet E-mail, if Conducted via Email  
None.


### CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that the above constitutes a complete, written statement as contemplated by 37 C.F.R. 1.133 and MPEP § 713.04. Applicants respectfully request this paper to be made of record in the above-identified application. The Examiners are requested to contact the undersigned if they have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 9, 2004


By

  
Stephen R. Auten  
Reg. No. 47,396  
Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd.  
311 South Wacker Drive, 53<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312) 554-3300

---

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as a U.S. first-class mail in an envelope, with sufficient postage prepaid thereon, addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on July 9, 2004, as well as by faxing all papers to (703) 872-9306.

  
Carol J. Wiechers  
(204532)